

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/626,751	(07/23/2003	Michael Bishman	Bishma.M-01	1723	
22197	7590	06/21/2005		EXAM	INER	
GENE SCO	GENE SCOTT; PATENT LAW & VENTURE GROUP				KEENAN, JAMES W	
3140 RED F	IILL AVE	NUE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SUITE 150 COSTA MESA CA 92626-3440				3652	TAI ER NOMBER	

DATE MAILED: 06/21/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Арг	olication No.	Applicant(s)	ð				
Office Action Commen		626,751	BISHMAN, MICHAEL					
Office Action Summa	Exa	miner	Art Unit					
		nes Keenan	3652					
The MAILING DATE of this co Period for Reply	mmunication appears	on the cover sheet with	h the correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PER THE MAILING DATE OF THIS CON - Extensions of time may be available under the p after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of t - If the period for reply specified above is less that - If NO period for reply is specified above, the may - Failure to reply within the set or extended period Any reply received by the Office later than three earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.3	MMUNICATION. provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). It is communication. In thirty (30) days, a reply within ximum statutory period will apple for reply will, by statute, cause months after the mailing date or	n no event, however, may a re the statutory minimum of thirty y and will expire SIX (6) MONT the application to become ABA	ply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this communication NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status								
1) Responsive to communication	n(s) filed on .							
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL.	2b)⊠ This actio	n is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in cor	☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is							
closed in accordance with the								
Disposition of Claims								
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are pending i	n the application		`					
4a) Of the above claim(s)	,	m consideration						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed		m consideration.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are rejected.	•							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected	d to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to		tion requirement.						
Application Papers								
	n butha Fuaminas							
9) The specification is objected to10) The drawing(s) filed on 23 July	•	cented or b) abject	ad to by the Evaminer					
Applicant may not request that ar			*					
	* *	***	s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d	IN.				
11) The oath or declaration is obje	_	•	,	1).				
,_	otou to by the Examin	or. Hote the attached						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12) Acknowledgment is made of a a) All b) Some * c) None		ity under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).					
1. Certified copies of the p		e been received.						
2. Certified copies of the p	-		polication No.					
3. Copies of the certified o	•	•	•					
application from the Inte	•		9					
* See the attached detailed Office	e action for a list of the	e certified copies not r	eceived.					
Attachment(s)								
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Su	immary (PTO-413)					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Re		Paper No(s)	/Mail Date					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12/5/03.	1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Inf 6) Other:	ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)					
J.S. Patent and Trademark Office		o) 🗀 Other:	- -					
PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)	Office Action S	ummary	Part of Paper No./Mail Date 2005061	17 J				

Application/Control Number: 10/626,751

Art Unit: 3652

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Page 2

2. Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 1, line 4, there is no antecedent basis for "the ... interior of the sidewall"; and last line, it is not clear how something can be "restrained within the sidewall", since the sidewall may be merely a single wall.

Similar issues apply to claims 6 and 10.

In claim 3, "adjacent" is spelled wrong.

In claim 5, lines 6 and 8, "the caster wheels" lack antecedent basis; and penultimate line, "the platform interior" lacks antecedent basis.

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

4. Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Peckham et al (US 3,356,172).

Peckham shows a method of moving a trailer initially connected to a towing vehicle by a hitch, comprising raising the foot of a trailer jack 3, positioning a wheeled

Page 3

platform 10 below the jack foot of the trailer, lowering the jack foot into a sidewall 12 on the platform to transfer the weight of the trailer to the platform, removing the trailer from the towing vehicle, and manually positioning the trailer to a desired location.

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Peckham et al in view of Riggin (US 2003/0190222) and Bertrand (US 5,052,703).

Although Peckham shows the "foot" of the trailer jack to be restrained within the "sidewall" of the platform, the height of the sidewall is not "superior" to that of the foot.

Riggin shows in figures 16-17 a dolly 10 for supporting a trailer, wherein the foot of the trailer jack 96 is supported on the upper surface of the dolly platform. The foot is a thin rectangular plate, as commonly known in the art.

Bertrand shows dollies having upstanding sidewalls 14 specifically for forming wells which restrain articles (such as furniture legs) which are to be placed therein.

It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have modified the apparatus of Peckham such that the foot of the trailer jack constituted a thin rectangular plate, and the sidewalls of the platform formed a corresponding restraining well with a height superior to that of the foot, as collectively

Application/Control Number: 10/626,751

Art Unit: 3652

Page 4

suggested by Riggin and Bertrand, as this would simply be the substitution of a known trailer jack foot and a correspondingly shaped sidewall to restrain it, the use of which in the apparatus of Peckham would neither require undue experimentation nor produce unexpected results.

Re claim 3, both Riggin and Bertrand show the platform to be rectangular, with caster wheels at the corners. The use of such a platform in the Peckham apparatus would have been a mere design expediency.

- 7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- 8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James Keenan whose telephone number is 571-272-6925. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eileen Lillis can be reached on 571-272-6928. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 3652

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ച്ച്mes Keenan Primary Examiner Art Unit 3652

jwk 6/17/05